

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	GDATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,553	01/23/2004		Jochen Von Der Hardt	010743.52910US	3212
23911	7590	10/14/2005		EXAM	INER
CROWELL & MORING LLP			PERRIN, JOSEPH L		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT		0044-4300		1746	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/762,553	VON DER HARDT ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Joseph L. Perrin, PhD	1746	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply		th the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory put to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roun. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	06 September 2005.		
·		This action is non-final.		
3) 🔲	Since this application is in condition for all		ers, prosecution as to the ments is	
. —	closed in accordance with the practice und		· ·	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 and 21 is/are pending in the	application.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) 1-19 and 21 is/are rejected.		·	
	Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to.			
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
٠	on Papers	·		
_	•			
	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□		butha Evenina	
10)			=	
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		•	
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by th			
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-152.	
_	•			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign pnority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:	anta hava bassas sa 1 - 1	·	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum		nationation No	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docun3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu 		received in this National Stage	
* 0	ee the attached detailed Office action for a		received	
	the attached detailed Office action for a	not of the certified copies flot i	eceiveu.	
	•			
	(s)			
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
Attachment I) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (F10-032)	The little view of		
) Notice 2) Notice	e of Neierences Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06 September 2005 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's amendment to claim 1 renders moot the rejection under 35 USC
 §112, second paragraph.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 06 September 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments that the compressed air source of KONONOV does not read on applicant's claimed "internal reference tank", this is not persuasive because the compressed air source of KONONOV is construed as part of the device of KONONOV and, therefore, reads on the relative terminology "internal". Even if, arguendo, one were to construe the compressed air source as being an external tank, the position is taken that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rearrange a compressed air source external to a device to an internal location, since it has been held that

Art Unit: 1746

rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. The structural limitations of KONONOV read on applicant's claimed apparatus.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 19, the phrase "said referenced tank" should be --said reference tank--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 11, it is unclear how a compressed air tank is capable of detecting a pressure drop. Clarification and correction are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. Claims 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over KONONOV (previously cited). Re

Art Unit: 1746

claims 11-19, KONONOV discloses a device 8 having a CPU 13 controlling a plurality of pneumatic valves V1-V13 (having "an open state" and "a closed state") connecting a plurality of lines having "internal volumes" and external connections (various lines) connected to filter housing 10, a cleaning supply (top left of Figure 1), a compressed air source (top left of Figure 1) which reads on an internal or external tank, and an external tank (tank 66 or cleaning liquid supply) (see Figure 1 and relative associated text, i.e. col. 2, line 65 et seg.). KONONOV does disclose a compressed air source connected by connecting lines as part of the device (Figure 1 and relative associated text) (construed to read on an "internal" or "external" reference tank since the compressed air source is part of the device and terminology such as "internal" and "external" are relative terms which are construed broadly). Even if, arguendo, one were to construe the compressed air source as being an external tank, the position is taken that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rearrange a compressed air source external to a device to an internal location, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70. If applicant takes the position that the claimed invention requires plural compressed air tanks, the position would be taken that duplicating parts (i.e. compressed air tanks) would be obvious since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8; In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669. 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Application/Control Number: 10/762,553 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

10. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KONONOV in view of PHIPPS, Jr. (previously cited). Recitation of Kononov is repeated here from above. Although KONONOV discloses the need for sterilization of the apparatus for food and drug manufacturing standards (col. 2, lines 3-24), Kononov does not expressly disclose using steel or PTFE lines or parts. PHIPPS, Jr. teaches that it is known to utilize steel or PTFE (Teflon®) plumbing parts due to their inertness, *i.e.* bioresistivity (col. 6, lines 48-55). Therefore, the position is taken that a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to utilize bioresistant materials in the apparatus of KONONOV in order to maintain sterilization integrity of the filter test system.

Conclusion

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Perrin, PhD whose telephone number is (571)272-1305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30, except alternate Fridays.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,553

Art Unit: 1746

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jlp

JOSEPH L. PERRIN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6